arXiv:1409.6153v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 23 Sep 2014

The critical 2-dimensional Ising model with fixed boundaries

Xintian Wu[1,*] and Nickolay Izmailyan[2,3,†]

[1] *Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China*
[2] *Applied Mathematics Research Centre, Coventry University, Coventry CV1 5FB, United Kingdom*
[3] *A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory, Alikhanian Br.2, 375036 Yerevan, Armenia.*

(Dated: September 24, 2014)

The critical 2-dimensional Ising model is studied with four types boundary conditions: free, fixed ferromagnetic, fixed antiferromagnetic, fixed double antiferromagnetic. Using Bond Propagation algorithms with surface fields, we obtained the free energy , internal energy and specific heat numerically on square lattices with square shape and various combinations of the four types boundary conditions. The numerical data are analyzed with finite size scaling. The bulk, edge and corner terms are extracted very accurately. The exact results are conjectured for the corner logarithmic term in the free energy, the edge and corner logarithmic terms in the internal energy and specific heat. The corner logarithmic terms in the free energy agree with the conformal field theory very well.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Nr,02.70.-c, 05.50.+q, 75.10.Hk

I. INTRODUCTION

Two dimensional Ising model should be the best understood statistical model. Exact results of the model on finite sizes with various boundary conditions (BCs) have been studied [1–11]. Detailed knowledge has been obtained for the torus case [6, 7], for helical BCs [8], for Brascamp-Kunz BCs [9–11] and for infinitely long cylinder[12]. The Ising model on the finite lattice plays an important role on the finite size scaling [13, 14], which finds extensive applications in the analysis of experimental, Monte Carlo, and transfer-matrix data, as well as in recent theoretical developments related to conformal invariance [15–17]. However for the complete open BCs, i.e. with open edges and sharp corners, some interesting results begin to appear in recent years [18–20]. In these works, the free BCs are studied. In this paper, we consider the fixed BCs.

One of the methods to solve the two dimensional Ising model with free boundary is the bond propagation (BP) algorithm. It was developed for computing the partition function of the Ising model in two dimensions first [21, 22]. Later on, the BP algorithm for the internal energy and specific heat are also developed [23]. It is so powerful that very large system sizes and very accurate numerical results can be reached. With this algorithm, the calculations have been carried out on square and triangular lattices with free boundaries [19, 20, 23]. The results of free energy, internal energy and specific heat are surprisingly accurate to 10^{-26}. The exact edge and corner terms on the square lattice and triangle lattice with various shapes are conjectured.

Recently one of the authors develops the BP algorithm with a surface field [24], which is called SFBP algorithm. We use the SFBP algorithm to study the two dimensional Ising model with fixed boundary. We have studied three types of fixed BCs: "$\pm$", "a" and "b", which are defined in section II. The free BC is denoted by "0". We have carried out numerical calculations of the free energy, internal energy and specific heat on the square lattice with a square shape. For each edge, we assign a type of BC. We present the results of ten BCs including $(++++)$ (where the four edges are assigned "+" type BC), $(aaaa)$, $(bbbb)$, $(+-+-)$,$(+0+0)$,$(+a+a)$, $(+b+b)$, $(a0a0)$, $(b0b0)$, and $(abab)$. Through fitting the data, we get very accurate expansions of the free energy, internal energy and specific heat. The corner logarithmic corrections in the free energy verify the conformal field theory (CFT) predictions [27–29]. The exact edge and corner logarithmic terms in the internal energy and specific heat are conjectured.

The accurate finite size expansions at the critical point are helpful to the CFT and renormalization group (RG) study on the boundary effects [25]. An interesting way to see the effect of the irrelevant operators is to compute the asymptotic expansion (in powers of L^{-1}) of the free energy and its derivatives with respect to the temperature at the critical point. In the corner terms of the free energy and in the edge and corner terms of the internal energy and specific heat, there are logarithmic corrections. The logarithmic terms are usually related to the singular part of free energy [13]. Therefore studying these logarithmic corrections is specially important.

Our paper is organized as follows. Since this paper is very long, we first summarize our results in section II. In section III, IV and V, we present the fittings of the free energy density, the internal energy and the specific heat respectively. Section VI is a discussion and Acknowledgement.

* wuxt@bnu.edu.cn
† izmail@yerphi.am

II. THE CRITICAL 2-DIMENSIONAL ISING MODEL WITH MIXED BCS

The Fig. 1 shows some lattices with typical BCs. We have studied three types of fixed BCs. The first one is "+" ("−") type, where all the spins at the boundary are fixed to be positive (negative). The second one is antiferromagnetic "a" type, where the spins at the boundary are fixed positive, negative alternatively. The third one is double antiferromagnetic "b", where the spins at the boundary are fixed positive, positive, negative, negative successively. Together with the free boundary (denoted by "0"), we have four types BCs. For a square, there are four edges, we denote the boundaries by $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$, where $\alpha_i = 0, +, -, a, b$. For example, as shown in Fig. (1a) the BCs on the four edges are fixed "+", fixed "a", fixed "b", fixed "-" in proper order respectively, then we denote the BCs by "$(+ab-)$".

We study the Ising model with these BCs using SFBP algorithms [24]. The fixed BCs is realized in SFBP in the following way. Consider a $(N+2)\times(N+2)$ lattice with coupling constant between spins being J. If the bottom (the zeroth row of spins) is assigned to be "+" type boundary, it is equivalent to applying a field with intensity of J to the first row of spins without the zeroth row of spins. If the boundary is the type "0", the surface field on the first row are zero. If the boundary is type "a", the surface field on the first row of spins are $+J$ and $-J$ alternatively. If the boundary is type "b", the surface field on the first row of spins are $+J$, $+J$ $-J$, and $-J$ successively. The other edges can be dealt similarly. Then the $(N+2)\times(N+2)$ lattice with fixed BCs becomes a $N\times N$ lattice with a surface field. In other words we can solve the Ising model with a surface filed to study the fixed boundary. Consider the Ising model with a surface field

$$\mathcal{H} = -J\sum_{<i,j>}\sigma_i\sigma_j - \sum_{i\in\Gamma}H_i\sigma_i \tag{1}$$

where Γ is the boundary, and the surface field is assigned according to the BCs, which is discussed above. We calculate the partition function

$$Z = \sum_{\{\sigma_i\}} e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}}, \tag{2}$$

then get the free energy density

$$f = -\frac{\ln Z}{S} \tag{3}$$

where $S = N^2$ is the number of spins. The internal energy density is defined by

$$u = -\frac{1}{S}\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial\beta} \tag{4}$$

and specific heat per spin by

$$c = \frac{1}{S}\frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial\beta^2}. \tag{5}$$



FIG. 1. (a) The 8×8 lattice with BCs $(+ab-)$. (b) The 8×8 lattice with BCs $(+0+0)$. (c) The 8×8 lattice with BCs $(+-+-)$. (d) The 8×8 lattice with BCs $(baba)$.

We have calculated the free energy, internal energy and specific heat at the critical point $\beta J = \beta_c J = \frac{1}{2}\ln(1+\sqrt{2})$ with various BCs. We only present the results with ten BCs including $(+++-)$, $(aaaa)$, $(bbbb)$, $(+a+a)$, $(+-+-)$, $(+b+b)$, $(+0+0)$, $(abab)$, $(0a0a)$, $(0b0b)$. In the first three cases the BCs of the four edges are the same, so we can get the edge term easily. In all these cases, the BCs for the four corners are the same, so we can get the corner term easily.

We find that the critical free energy density can be expanded into

$$f = f_0 + \frac{f_s}{N} + \frac{f_c \ln N}{N^2} + \sum_{k=2}^{k_{max}}\frac{f_k}{N^k} \tag{6}$$

where the surface term $f_s = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{\rm surf}(\alpha_i)$ is the sum of the four edges' contribution, $\alpha_i = +, -, 0, a, b$ denote the BCs of the ith edge; the corner term $f_c = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{\rm corn}(\alpha_i\beta_i)$ is the sum of the four corners' contribution, $\alpha_i\beta_i = +-, +0, +a, +b, etc.$ denotes the BCs of the ith corner. For example, the contribution from a corner with two edges under BCs "+" and"0" is denoted by $f_{corn}(+0)$. We expand the the free energy (the internal energy and specific heat in the next two sections) to as high order as possible to make the deviation as small as possible. In all fittings of the free energy, we take $k_{max} = 15$.

In our fittings we confirm the exact result given by Onsager[1], i.e.,

$$f_0 = -\ln\sqrt{2} - \frac{2}{\pi}G \tag{7}$$

where $G = 1 - \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{5^2} - \frac{1}{7^2} + \cdots$.

For the free BCs, the edge term $f_{surf}(0)$ has been obtained in our previous work [19]. It is conjectured that

$$f_{surf}(0) = \frac{1}{2}[\frac{1}{2}\ln(1+\sqrt{2}) - D_1] \tag{8}$$

where $D_1 = \int_0^\pi \ln[1+\sqrt{2}(1-\cos\theta)^{1/2}(3-\cos\theta)^{-1/2}]$. This is obtained by comparing our numerical result on the rectangle with the exact result on the cylinder by Helen and Fisher [5].

In the fittings for the free energy data, the other surface terms are given by

$$\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & f_{surf}(\alpha) \\ \pm & -0.20069519553860940340 1008(3) \\ a & 0.05826018098674335574 06(6) \\ b & 0.03597349712225534654(7) \end{array} \tag{9}$$

For $f_{surf}(\pm)$ and $f_{surf}(a)$, it is satisfied that

$$f_{surf}(\pm) + f_{surf}(a) = \frac{1}{2}\ln(1+\sqrt{2}) - \frac{2}{\pi}G. \tag{10}$$

This is obtained by comparing the result on Brascamp-Kunz BCs [10], where the cylinder with upper edge under "+" BCs and bottom edge under "a" BCs.

The corner terms f_{corn} are very interesting because they are universal and logarithmic. Cardy and Peschel studied the free energy with in CFT [16]. They predicted that a corner with angle $\pi/2$ and two edges under free BCs, gives rise to the term $-\frac{c}{16}\frac{\ln N}{N^2}$ in the free energy expansion, where $c = 1/2$ is the central charge. That is to say $f_{corn}(00) = -\frac{1}{32}$. This is verified in our previous work on the square lattice and triangle lattice with free BCs [19, 20]. Late on, Imamura et al. study the corner terms with different BCs within CFT [27–29]. According to their results, the contribution to the free energy from a corner with two edges under α and β BCs, $f_{corn}(\alpha\beta)$ is given by

$$f_{corn}(\alpha\beta) = 2\lambda_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{c}{16} \tag{11}$$

where $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ is the conformal weight of the boundary operator inserting at the corner and $c = 1/2$ is the central charge for the Ising model. There are three different conformal weights in the Ising model, namely, $\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = 0, 1/16$ and $1/2$. There are also three different conformally invariant boundary conditions, generally denoted by $+$, $-$ and f [30], where first two described fixed boundary conditions on the spin $s = +1$ or -1 respectively, and f corresponds to free boundary conditions. When boundary conditions on both sides of the corner are the same ($\alpha = \beta$), the boundary operator is just identity operator with $\lambda = 0$. In the case when boundary conditions on one side of the corner is $\alpha = +$ and on another side is $\beta = -$, the boundary operator has conformal weight $\lambda = 1/2$ and in the case when boundary condition on one side of the corner is $\alpha = +$ or $-$ and on another side is $\beta = 0, a$ or b the boundary operator has conformal weight $\lambda = 1/16$. We also get the following result, when boundary conditions on both sides of the corner are $0, a$ or b, the boundary operator has conformal weight $\lambda = 0$. Thus we have obtained that the boundary conditions $0, a$ and b are all belong to the free conformally invariant boundary condition. Then we have the table for $f_{corn}(\alpha\beta)$

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} & + & - & 0 & a & b \\ + & -\frac{1}{32} & \frac{31}{32} & \frac{3}{32} & \frac{3}{32} & \frac{3}{32} \\ - & & -\frac{1}{32} & \frac{3}{32} & \frac{3}{32} & \frac{3}{32} \\ 0 & & & -\frac{1}{32} & -\frac{1}{32} & -\frac{1}{32} \\ a & & & & -\frac{1}{32} & -\frac{1}{32} \\ b & & & & & -\frac{1}{32} \end{array} \tag{12}$$

where the first column is α and the first row is β. It is obvious that $f_{corn}(\alpha\beta) = f_{corn}(\beta\alpha)$.

Our numerical results verified these predictions in very high accuracies. The detailed fittings of the numerical data are presented in section III. As one can see, the BCs "$0, a, b$" play the same role in the corner terms. In CFT, these BCs belong to the same type indeed. However they play different roles in the internal energy and specific heat, which are show in the following. This is the reason why we study these three types of BCs "$0, a, b$".

We find that the critical internal energy can be expanded into

$$u = u_0 + \frac{u_s \ln N}{N} + \frac{u_c \ln N}{N^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{u_k}{N^k} \tag{13}$$

where the surface term $u_s = \sum_{i=1}^4 u_{\rm surf}(\alpha_i)$ is the sum of the four edges' contribution and the corner term $u_c = \sum_{i=1}^4 u_{\rm corn}(\alpha_i\beta_i)$ is the sum of the four corners' contribution.

In the expansions, we confirm the exact result of the bulk value [1]

$$u_0 = -\sqrt{2} \tag{14}$$

From the results with various BCs, the surface terms are given by

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \alpha & \pm & 0 & a & b \\ u_{surf}(\alpha) & -\frac{1}{\pi} & \frac{1}{\pi} & \frac{1}{\pi} & \frac{1}{\pi} \end{array} \tag{15}$$

The corner terms $u_{corn}(\alpha\beta)$ are summarized in the following expression

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} & \pm & 0 & a & b \\ \pm & -\frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} & \frac{1}{2\pi} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} & \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \\ 0 & & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} & \frac{1}{2\pi} & \frac{4-\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \\ a & & & \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} & \frac{8-5\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \\ b & & & & \frac{4-3\sqrt{2}}{4\pi} \end{array} \tag{16}$$

where α is shown in the left column and β is shown in the first row. The corner term $u_{corn}(+-)$ is given by

$$u_{corn}(+-) = -\frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{2\pi}. \tag{17}$$

It should be noted that $u_{corn}(+-) = u_{corn}(++)$ as compared with $f_{corn}(+-) \neq f_{corn}(++)$. The detailed fittings of the numerical data and extracting these coefficients are presented in section IV.

The critical specific heat can be expanded into

$$c = A_0 \ln N + D_0 + \frac{c_s \ln N}{N} + \frac{c_c \ln N}{N^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{N^k} \quad (18)$$

where the surface term $c_s = \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{\rm surf}(\alpha_i)$ is the sum of the four edges' contribution, the corner term $c_c = \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{\rm corn}(\alpha_i\beta_i)$ is the sum of the four corners' contribution.

In the fittings, we confirm the Onsager's exact result [1]

$$A_0 = \frac{8}{\pi}. \quad (19)$$

The surface terms are given by

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \alpha & \pm & 0 & a & b \\ c_{surf}(\alpha) & \frac{4+\sqrt{2}}{\pi} & \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{\pi} & \frac{4-\sqrt{2}}{\pi} & \frac{4-2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \end{array} \quad (20)$$

The corner terms $c_{corn}(\alpha\beta)$ are summarized in the following expression

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} & \pm & 0 & a & b \\ \pm & \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{\pi} & \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} & \frac{1}{\pi} & \frac{1-\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \\ 0 & & \frac{3}{\pi} & \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} & \frac{3\sqrt{2}-1}{2\pi} \\ a & & & \frac{3-\sqrt{2}}{\pi} & \frac{7-3\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \\ b & & & & \frac{17-8\sqrt{2}}{4\pi} \end{array} \quad (21)$$

where α is shown in the left column and β is shown in the first row. The corner term $c_{corn}(+-)$ is given by

$$c_{corn}(+-) = \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{\pi}. \quad (22)$$

It should be noted that $c_{corn}(+-) = c_{corn}(++)$ as compared with $f_{corn}(+-) \neq f_{corn}(++)$. The detailed fittings of the numerical data extracting these coefficients are presented in section V.

We also studied the more complicated BCS such as $(+0-0), (+-00), (+a-a)$, etc. All the results satisfy the expansion form in Eqs. (6), (13) and (18). However these results are not presented in this paper.

Let us to summarize the results and give some remarks:

1. The edge and corner logarithmic terms are additive, for example for the surface free energy, $f_c = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{corn}(\alpha_i\beta_i)$.

2. There is only one logarithmic correction, the corner term, in the free energy. There are only two logarithmic corrections, the edge and corner terms, in the internal energy. There are only three logarithmic corrections, the leading term, the edge and corner terms in the specific heat. The logarithmic terms are usually related to the singular part of free energy [13]. Therefore, our results are helpful to determine the singular part of the free energy, which plays a key role in the RG and scaling theory.

3. As we can see, the role of BCs $0, a, b$ is the same in the corner terms for the free energy, but different in the internal energy and specific heat. In reference [25], using conformal-field theory, the authors classify the possible irrelevant operators for the Ising model with periodic BCs. Now we can ask a similar question: for the BCs $\pm, 0, a, b$, what boundary states exist? What irrelevant operators cause the differences in the internal energy and specific heat for these BCs?

TABLE I. The fitted parameters for the free energy density on with BCs $(++++)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	f_i	Δf_i
f_0	$-0.92969539834161021498538497 4048$D+00	0.2D−27
f_s	$-0.80278078215443761360403323 7415$D+00	0.1D−23
f_c	$-0.12500000000000000000342278 9284$D+00	0.1D−20
f_2	$0.65945413354216043912615532 8533$D−01	0.1D−19
f_3	$-0.21338834764831844322590641 5699$D+00	0.1D−17
f_4	$0.16842461027287727859529174 1255$D+00	0.2D−15
f_5	$-0.14107062406462583629005055 9848$D+00	0.4D−13
f_6	$0.33166577952117456986900862 3271$D−01	0.6D−11
f_7	$0.39478716407154420847867693 2729$D+00	0.8D−09
f_8	$-0.19506600246296249490286194 9904$D+01	0.7D−07
f_9	$0.74683656427208764681100323 0908$D+01	0.5D−05
f_{10}	$-0.27166580391597321202024484 2054$D+02	0.2D−03
f_{11}	$0.99520883027202248736221627 6397$D+02	0.8D−02
f_{12}	$-0.37567816070804205448646065 0382$D+03	0.2D+00
f_{13}	$0.14382338565610085093714696 0998$D+04	0.4D+01
f_{14}	$-0.49744374666049225744070872 4890$D+04	0.4D+02
f_{15}	$0.10944122081857222212122940 5839$D+05	0.2D+03

III. THE CRITICAL FREE ENERGY DENSITY

In the numerical calculation for all cases, the number of data points are more than 80 and the fitting interval of sizes is $30 < N < 1,000$. For the BCs $(aaaa)$, $(+a+a)$, $(a0a0)$, the lattice size is assigned to be $N = 2m$, where m is an integer considering the unit length is 2 for the "a" type boundary. For the BCs $(bbbb)$, $(+b+b)$, $(abab)$ and $(b0b0)$, the lattice size is assigned to be $N = 4m$ considering the unit length is 4 for the "b" type boundary.

To characterize the accuracy of our fittings, we define the maximal deviation

$$\delta_{max} = Max|y_i - y_i^{fit}|, \quad (23)$$

where y_i is the numerical data and y_i^{fit} is the value given by the fitting formula. We choose the maximum of the deviations from the data to the fitted ones to represent our fitting quality. We expand the the free energy, internal energy and specific heat to as high order as possible to make the δ_{max} as small as possible. In every table of

the fitting parameters, we give the maximum of deviation. For example, in Tab. I, $\delta_{max} = 10^{-29}$. We list 30 digits for these results for the convenience of future readers who may want to check other functional forms for the size dependence of the free energy.

The free energy density is fitted with the following formula

$$f = f_0 + \frac{f_s}{N} + \frac{f_c \ln N}{N^2} + \sum_{k=2}^{k_{max}} \frac{f_k}{N^k} \tag{24}$$

where $f_s = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{\rm surf}(\alpha_i)$ is the sum of the four edges' contribution, and $f_c = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_{\rm corn}(\alpha_i\beta_i)$ is the sum of the four corners' contribution. In all fittings of the free energy, we take $k_{max} = 15$.

The bulk term is known from the Onsager's exact result [1]

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= -\ln\sqrt{2} - \frac{2}{\pi}G \\ &= -0.92969539834161021498538497 36\cdots \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $G = 1 - \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{5^2} - \frac{1}{7^2} + \cdots$. This bulk term can be the benchmark for our numerical results.

For the BCs $(+\ +\ ++)$ as shown in Tab. I, the f_0 agree with the exact result in Eq. (25) in accuracy 10^{-27}. Assuming the surface term is $f_s = 4f_{surf}(+)$, we get

$$f_{surf}(+) = -0.200695195538609403401008(3) \tag{26}$$

Similarly assuming the corner term $f_c = 4f_{corn}(++)$ we find that it is satisfied

$$f_{corn}(++) = -\frac{1}{32} \tag{27}$$

in the accuracy of 10^{-20}. This agrees with the CFT discussion in Eq. (12).

For the BCs (0000), it has been studied in the previous work [20, 23]. The surface term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{surf}(0) &= \frac{1}{2}[\frac{1}{2}\ln(1+\sqrt{2}) - D_1] \\ &= 0.09086570849220937845\cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

The corner term $f_{corn}(00)$ has been studied in the previous work [20] and it is given by

$$f_{corn}(00) = -\frac{1}{32} \tag{29}$$

which is predicted by the CFT [16].

From Tab. II for the BCs $(aaaa)$, assuming that $f_s = 4f_{surf}(a)$, we get

$$f_{surf}(a) = 0.05826018098674335574 06(6). \tag{30}$$

In the exact result of infinitely long strip with Brascamp-Kunz BCs, the edge term from the two edges in the expansion of free energy density is $f_1 = \frac{1}{2}\ln(1+\sqrt{2}) - \frac{2}{\pi}G$ [10]. The BCs are periodic in the x direction; in the y direction, the spins are up (+1) along the upper border of the resulting cylinder and have the alternative values along the lower border of the resulting cylinder. In our terminology, Brascamp-Kunz BCs is that the upper border has "+" BCs and the lower border has "a" BCs. Then it should have $f_1 = f_{surf}(+) + f_{surf}(a)$. From the

TABLE II. The fitted parameters for the free energy density with BCs $(aaaa)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	f_i	Δf_i
f_0	−0.92969539834161021498538498549D+00	0.5D−26
f_s	0.23304072394697342296228295 5610D+00	0.3D−22
f_c	−0.12500000000000000015705588 7207D+00	0.4D−19
f_2	−0.25464821902504076035596410 2628D+00	0.3D−18
f_3	−0.36611652351681694714761575 5151D−01	0.3D−16
f_4	0.99072693382845411531998936 5025D−01	0.7D−14
f_5	−0.75335738032116369377330701 8417D−01	0.2D−11
f_6	−0.10460794278719031862871673 1538D+01	0.3D−09
f_7	0.10553469623637711206737483 6870D+01	0.4D−07
f_8	−0.59717929560090296856798944 6877D+01	0.4D−05
f_9	0.27274569359475507349817339 5278D+01	0.3D−03
f_{10}	−0.63465822368163501820745631 4726D+02	0.2D−01
f_{11}	−0.30899290348395560575387397 9369D+02	0.6D+00
f_{12}	−0.10846462565813375057993225 1299D+04	0.2D+02
f_{13}	−0.11581204402229412023513093 7169D+05	0.4D+03
f_{14}	0.10584660046952801601653179 0016D+06	0.4D+04
f_{15}	−0.14301594083805039603292186 3125D+07	0.2D+05

TABLE III. The fitted parameters for the free energy density with BCs $(bbbb)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-26}$.

	f_i	Δf_i
f_0	−0.92969539834161021498539170 5826D+00	0.4D−23
f_s	0.14389398848902138616685891 6572D+00	0.3D−19
f_c	−0.12500000000000000721695639 57020D+00	0.4D−16
f_2	−0.77332142803580638305104896 2333D+00	0.3D−15
f_3	0.75825214724152590495755973 4346D-02	0.3D−13
f_4	0.93677713997772227247622290 6042D-01	0.7D−11
f_5	−0.68654475324298193630760852 2488D-01	0.2D−08
f_6	−0.58157236928508967113160254 6639D+01	0.3D−06
f_7	−0.68476508013159501291534160 7228D+01	0.4D−04
f_8	−0.26487120800871901191727342 0988D+02	0.4D−02
f_9	−0.20002786265484300969644266 5461D+03	0.3D+00
f_{10}	−0.70480748509711306452881010 8323D+03	0.2D+02
f_{11}	−0.10370218296740005297148356 3405D+05	0.6D+03
f_{12}	0.13078212785834755356173906 3922D+06	0.2D+05
f_{13}	−0.42758991893667052076480293 1284D+07	0.4D+06
f_{14}	0.55567369780512502588367338 9689D+08	0.4D+07
f_{15}	−0.45429873397466327715307010 8973D+09	0.2D+08

TABLE IV. The fitted parameters for the free energy density with BCs $(+-+-)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-26}$.

	f_i	Δf_i
f_0	$-0.92969539834161021498538769 3575$D+00	0.2D−23
f_s	$-0.80278078215443761358647097 1581$D+00	0.1D−19
f_c	$0.38749999999999999758180926 8118$D+01	0.2D−16
f_2	$-0.28688211214386505599977776 4801$D+01	0.1D−15
f_3	$0.66150387770978527675538445 3499$D+01	0.1D−13
f_4	$-0.87945710574267391075710313 5424$D+01	0.2D−11
f_5	$0.21627130130937519875844030 8984$D+02	0.4D−09
f_6	$-0.55553127106737024027293777 3454$D+02	0.7D−07
f_7	$0.16100996778984212852997300 6611$D+03	0.8D−05
f_8	$-0.57478041627432813370030405 5511$D+03	0.7D−03
f_9	$0.27951582244954515929081656 3254$D+04	0.5D−01
f_{10}	$-0.15768578090417765792521570 4982$D+05	0.2D+01
f_{11}	$0.95094903172866142871750825 9376$D+05	0.8D+02
f_{12}	$-0.58657901163055297110915913 0875$D+06	0.2D+04
f_{13}	$0.35288399279974459023501534 0813$D+07	0.4D+05
f_{14}	$-0.17334875734942242224342750 2577$D+08	0.4D+06
f_{15}	$0.49064263477231051776225896 5649$D+08	0.2D+07

TABLE V. The fitted parameters for the free energy density with BCs $(+0+0)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	f_i	Δf_i
f_0	$-0.92969539834161021498538497 9151$D+00	0.2D−26
f_s	$-0.21965897409280005332723135 5771$D+00	0.1D−22
f_c	$0.37499999999999999995119442 7335$D+00	0.2D−19
f_2	$-0.25196267064686603270301687 0182$D+00	0.1D−18
f_3	$0.67928538521029636112299256 4020$D+00	0.1D−16
f_4	$-0.78777076188619408923286685 0423$D+00	0.2D−14
f_5	$0.11404129885589487141491932 7289$D+01	0.4D−12
f_6	$-0.19575609454892662038916689 5374$D+01	0.7D−10
f_7	$0.47417071280629484370870519 2306$D+01	0.8D−08
f_8	$-0.13561581637514236987770437 8052$D+02	0.7D−06
f_9	$0.41566999032288939055160616 4473$D+02	0.5D−04
f_{10}	$-0.13806304477959838312257996 8043$D+03	0.2D−02
f_{11}	$0.53987772161718877559366960 4842$D+03	0.8D−01
f_{12}	$-0.24425652302855960538264780 8389$D+04	0.2D+01
f_{13}	$0.11693368478346248615932061 2910$D+05	0.4D+02
f_{14}	$-0.48672086587299317506458232 5108$D+05	0.4D+03
f_{15}	$0.12375291045127178629177198 0697$D+06	0.2D+04

above two equations, we find that it is satisfied indeed

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{surf}(+) + f_{surf}(a) \\ &= -0.14243501455186604766 06(6) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2}\ln(1+\sqrt{2}) - \frac{2}{\pi}G \\ &= -0.14243501455186604766 04642\cdots \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

In reference [10] the two borders are dealt together. From our results, one can see that they can be dealt separately.

For the BCs shown in Tab. II, from the corner term f_c, we get

$$f_{corn}(aa) = -\frac{1}{32} \tag{32}$$

where we assume $f_c = 4f_{corn}(aa)$. The corner term f_c in Tab. II satisfies this equation in the accuracy of 10^{-19}. This also agrees with the CFT discussion in Eq. (12).

From Tab. III for the BCs $(bbbb)$, assuming that $f_s = 4f_{surf}(b)$, we get

$$f_{surf}(b) = 0.035973497122255346 54(7). \tag{33}$$

Assuming $f_c = 4f_{corn}(bb)$, f_c satisfies that

$$f_{corn}(+-) = \frac{31}{32} \tag{34}$$

in the accuracy of 10^{-16}. This agrees with the CFT result in Eq. (12).

In order to investigate the corner terms for two edges under different BCs, we also studied the BCs $(+-+-)$,$(+0+0)$,$(+a+a)$,$(+b+b)$, $(a0a0)$, $(b0b0)$, and $(abab)$. The parameters of fitting of free energy density for these BCs are shown in Tab. IV-IX respectively.

For the BCs $(+-+-)$ as shown in Tab. IV, the f_0 agree with the exact result in Eq. (25) in the accuracy of 10^{-23}. For the surface term, due the symmetry, it should have

$$f_{surf}(-) = f_{surf}(+). \tag{35}$$

On one hand, the surface term value in Tab. IV satisfies $f_s \approx 4f_{surf}(+)$ (see Eq. (26)). On the other hand it should have $f_s = 2f_{surf}(+) + 2f_{surf}(-)$ for the BCs $(+-+-)$. Therefore we verified that $f_{surf}(+) = f_{surf}(-)$ in this case. For the corner term, assuming $f_c = 4f_{corn}(+-)$, we get

$$f_{corn}(+-) = \frac{31}{32} \tag{36}$$

in the accuracy of 10^{-16}. This agrees with the CFT result in Eq. (12).

For the BCs $(+0+0)$ as shown in Tab. V, the f_0 agree with the exact result in Eq. (25) in accuracy 10^{-26}. The surface term satisfies $f_s \approx 2(f_{surf}(+)+f_{surf}(0))$ (see Eq. (26) and (28)). Assuming $f_c = 4f_{corn}(+0)$, f_c satisfies that

$$f_{corn}(+0) = \frac{3}{32} \tag{37}$$

in the accuracy of 10^{-19}. This agrees with the CFT discussion in Eq. (12).

For the BCs $(+a+a)$ as shown in Tab. VI, the f_0 agree with the exact result in Eq. (25) in accuracy 10^{-26}. The surface term satisfies $f_s \approx 2(f_{surf}(+)+f_{surf}(a))$ (see Eq. (26) and (30)). Assuming $f_c = 4f_{corn}(+a)$, f_c satisfies that

$$f_{corn}(+a) = \frac{3}{32} \tag{38}$$

TABLE VI. The fitted parameters for the free energy density with BCs $(+a+a)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	f_i	Δf_i
f_0	−0.92969539834161021498538497857 3D+00	0.5D−26
f_s	−0.28487002910373209532089404263 9D+00	0.3D−22
f_c	0.37499999999999999994796388145 5D+00	0.4D−19
f_2	−0.23052806985207568187394580159 1D+00	0.3D−18
f_3	0.69548956154127803720497815148 1D+00	0.3D−16
f_4	−0.10314185880654781914224483649 7D+01	0.7D−14
f_5	0.16654975764069138936399911670 9D+01	0.2D−11
f_6	−0.31566632948974413436771043692 0D+01	0.3D−09
f_7	0.75136455607142002785698309562 9D+01	0.4D−07
f_8	−0.21172445431716916436451168461 3D+02	0.4D−05
f_9	0.56928593361490240057461205527 1D+02	0.3D−03
f_{10}	−0.20089487970655616759666667774 8D+03	0.2D−01
f_{11}	0.73846523485049840747935903959 5D+03	0.6D+00
f_{12}	−0.37062523316206385353947450794 9D+04	0.2D+02
f_{13}	0.13902695117977356232126668886 1D+05	0.4D+03
f_{14}	−0.47264741639996071981195634512 0D+05	0.4D+04
f_{15}	−0.14844879625249209307801132971 6D+06	0.2D+05

TABLE VII. The fitted parameters for the free energy density with BCs $(+b+b)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-28}$.

	f_i	Δf_i
f_0	−0.92969539834161021498538581373 0D+00	0.4D−24
f_s	−0.32944339683270811373644856392 7D+00	0.3D−20
f_c	0.37499999999999999101108659396 6D+00	0.4D−17
f_2	−0.23001909740847864875663460100 6D+00	0.4D−16
f_3	0.70932069119035100930601036472 7D+00	0.3D−14
f_4	−0.12069107304183594441941529673 4D+01	0.7D−12
f_5	0.17507467995612428880001995505 6D+01	0.2D−09
f_6	−0.36940634592004658205513208603 7D+01	0.3D−07
f_7	0.73549203415914807376779404854 7D+01	0.4D−05
f_8	−0.22040513912790958377117719481 0D+02	0.4D−03
f_9	0.48093880107534102163881145494 6D+02	0.3D−01
f_{10}	−0.30307734778297122520724749592 3D+03	0.2D+01
f_{11}	−0.35984788590632959956550497393 1D+03	0.6D+02
f_{12}	0.12850489213653362213090035531 7D+05	0.2D+04
f_{13}	−0.47710895528482214596120182217 9D+06	0.4D+05
f_{14}	0.64944572258611561738909984728 5D+07	0.4D+06
f_{15}	−0.52155112607757966837556589060 3D+08	0.2D+07

in the accuracy of 10^{-19}. This agrees with the CFT result in Eq. (12).

For the BCs $(+a+a)$ as shown in Tab. VII, the f_0 agree with the exact result in Eq. (25) in accuracy 10^{-24}. The surface term satisfies $f_s \approx 2(f_{surf}(+)+f_{surf}(b))$ (see Eq. (26) and (33)). From the corner term f_c we get

$$f_{corn}(+b) = \frac{3}{32} \tag{39}$$

assuming $f_c = 4f_{corn}(+b)$. This agrees with the CFT discussion in Eq. (12). The f_c in Tab. IV coincides this value in the accuracy of 10^{-17}.

TABLE VIII. The fitted parameters for the free energy density with BCs $(a0a0)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-26}$.

	f_i	Δf_i
f_0	−0.92969539834161021498538498129 1D+00	0.5D−26
f_s	0.29825177895790546495589393119 1D+00	0.3D−22
f_c	−0.12500000000000000000807798625 76D+00	0.4D−19
f_2	−0.16254995348683187994590872711 5D+00	0.3D−18
f_3	−0.62500000000000006967225149540 26D-01	0.3D−16
f_4	0.10505630940377406430571006092 8D+00	0.7D−14
f_5	−0.23235372326269006926363391512 5D+00	0.2D−11
f_6	−0.37490276575552197703626376257 4D+00	0.3D−09
f_7	0.15445893263591192796665026392 6D+00	0.4D−07
f_8	−0.21993307568367170039484194789 6D+01	0.4D−05
f_9	−0.17980369648681255163788651980 7D+01	0.3D−03
f_{10}	−0.25150940356125940039914637308 4D+02	0.2D−01
f_{11}	−0.58246393745308033743966440664 5D+02	0.6D+00
f_{12}	−0.39017479890075783229723952343 0D+03	0.2D+02
f_{13}	−0.70852481653311495176771645322 0D+04	0.4D+03
f_{14}	0.59293194293882140056414449077 6D+05	0.4D+04
f_{15}	−0.76218924391529290793056046608 9D+06	0.2D+05

For the BCs $(a0a0)$ as shown in Tab. VIII, the f_0 agree with the exact result in Eq. (25) in accuracy 10^{-26}. The surface term satisfies $f_s \approx 2(f_{surf}(a)+f_{surf}(0))$ (see Eq. (30) and (28)). Assuming $f_c = 4f_{corn}(a0)$, f_c satisfies that

$$f_{corn}(a0) = -\frac{1}{32} \tag{40}$$

in the accuracy of 10^{-16}. This agrees with the CFT discussion in Eq. (12).

For the BCs $(a0a0)$ as shown in Tab. IX, the f_0 agree with the exact result in Eq. (25) in accuracy 10^{-23}. The surface term satisfies $f_s \approx 2(f_{surf}(b)+f_{surf}(0))$ (see Eq. (33) and (28)). Assuming $f_c = 4f_{corn}(b0)$, f_c satisfies that

$$f_{corn}(b0) = -\frac{1}{32} \tag{41}$$

in the accuracy of 10^{-16}. This also agrees with the CFT result in Eq. (12).

For the BCs $(abab)$ as shown in Tab. X, the f_0 agree with the exact result in Eq. (25) in accuracy 10^{-23}. The surface term satisfies $f_s \approx 2(f_{surf}(a)+f_{surf}(b))$ (see Eq. (30) and (33)). Assuming $f_c = 4f_{corn}(ab)$, f_c satisfies that

$$f_{corn}(ab) = -\frac{1}{32} \tag{42}$$

in the accuracy of 10^{-16}. This agrees with the CFT result in Eq. (12).

TABLE IX. The fitted parameters for the free energy density with BCs ($b0b0$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-26}$.

	f_i	Δf_i
f_0	−0.92969539834161021498539217284 3D+00	0.4D−23
f_s	0.25367841122892944658527498485 8D+00	0.3D−19
f_c	−0.12500000000000007717629300547 6D+00	0.4D−16
f_2	−0.33563441358153639771856615857 2D+00	0.3D−15
f_3	−0.40402913087987120300059879050 1D-01	0.3D−13
f_4	0.35979838775038626024192667942 0D+00	0.7D−11
f_5	−0.11670342835804457037926743236 2D+01	0.2D−08
f_6	−0.33288362021366831665205327712 3D+01	0.3D−06
f_7	−0.42275969724000331070370747491 8D+01	0.4D−04
f_8	−0.26482772148209827537287464828 4D+02	0.4D−02
f_9	−0.15058225756333305326102021619 9D+03	0.3D+00
f_{10}	−0.73361195941288142932234611768 2D+03	0.2D+02
f_{11}	−0.91549298293356173981886152510 6D+04	0.6D+03
f_{12}	0.13761518729690294139267901180 2D+06	0.2D+05
f_{13}	−0.45340948659894806743063159668 3D+07	0.4D+06
f_{14}	0.59491717755489871831516235126 5D+08	0.4D+07
f_{15}	−0.48166243790507905650766924872 3D+09	0.2D+08

TABLE X. The fitted parameters for the free energy density with BCs ($abab$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-26}$.

	f_i	Δf_i
f_0	−0.92969539834161021498539106357 1D+00	0.4D−23
f_s	0.18846735621799740458376832531 2D+00	0.3D−19
f_c	−0.12500000000000000652711958107 34D+00	0.4D−16
f_2	−0.40204424018502461628567435790 4D+00	0.3D−15
f_3	−0.14514565439658378291573033096 4D-01	0.3D−13
f_4	0.23425498662952868338019922576 3D+00	0.7D−11
f_5	−0.32168699985339936693888421897 8D+00	0.2D−08
f_6	−0.37243395860554048578921154847 4D+01	0.3D−06
f_7	−0.16571933824272284057369794638 6D+01	0.4D−04
f_8	−0.24817545715178050930985366334 3D+02	0.4D−02
f_9	−0.10855090009129178003957833243 6D+03	0.3D+00
f_{10}	−0.67971672738886551795533670432 1D+03	0.2D+02
f_{11}	−0.75320998625471915008721296657 9D+04	0.6D+03
f_{12}	0.11737836962875742530449085169 1D+06	0.2D+05
f_{13}	−0.37986263612293974387251963338 8D+07	0.4D+06
f_{14}	0.49982001801833339410072442105 3D+08	0.4D+07
f_{15}	−0.40360252778439030762914691153 6D+09	0.2D+08

IV. THE CRITICAL INTERNAL ENERGY DENSITY

We find that the critical internal energy can be expanded into

$$u = u_0 + u_{\rm surf}\frac{\ln N}{N} + u_{\rm corn}\frac{\ln N}{N^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{B_k}{N^k}. \qquad (43)$$

TABLE XI. The fitted parameters for the internal energy density with BCs ($++++$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	u_i	Δu_i
u_0	−0.14142135623730950488016886900 9D+01	0.4D−25
u_s	−0.12732395447351626861512891316 1D+01	0.2D−21
u_1	−0.12136266920589298903428699590 5D+00	0.2D−20
u_c	−0.21735558608922687560088800991 6D+01	0.3D−18
u_2	−0.96652133410345341735050480269 7D+00	0.2D−17
u_3	−0.18324652620004171652419432190 1D+01	0.9D−16
u_4	0.89620674506653954530576122933 9D+00	0.1D−13
u_5	−0.37013880018038377399072127213 0D+00	0.2D−11
u_6	−0.75973910305245337728218233001 3D+00	0.3D−09
u_7	0.43369461259184498738336256835 0D+01	0.3D−07
u_8	−0.16323764967114463607551381222 3D+02	0.2D−05
u_9	0.57322674397649053269917750330 0D+02	0.1D−03
u_{10}	−0.20292367862143572303787679100 4D+03	0.6D−02
u_{11}	0.74957862767675952236296927093 9D+03	0.2D+00
u_{12}	−0.29316308871578620959225123066 9D+04	0.5D+01
u_{13}	0.11777096196524933667440476410 2D+05	0.8D+02
u_{14}	−0.42490475140329373921243452857 1D+05	0.8D+03
u_{15}	0.95624962551607809415657490204 5D+05	0.4D+04

where the surface term $u_s = \sum_{i=1}^{4} u_{\rm surf}(\alpha_i)$ is the sum of the four edges' contribution and the corner term $u_c = \sum_{i=1}^{4} u_{\rm corn}(\alpha_i\beta_i)$ is the sum of the four corners' contribution.

For all BCs, there should have the same bulk internal energy u_0, which is obtained in the Onsager's exact solution [1]

$$u_0 = -\sqrt{2} = -1.41421356237309504880168872\cdots \qquad (44)$$

This is the benchmark for our fittings.

For the free BCs (0000), the edge term $u_{surf}(0)$ and $U_{corn}(0)$ have been obtained in the previous work [19]. According to the definition of this paper, they are given by

$$u_{surf}(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \qquad (45)$$

and

$$u_{corn}(00) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi}. \qquad (46)$$

For the BCs ($++++$), the fitting parameters are shown in Tab. XI. We confirm the exact result for the bulk internal energy density in accuracy of 10^{-25}. Assuming $u_s = 4u_{surf}(+)$, we conjecture

$$u_{surf}(+) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \qquad (47)$$

The corner term u_s in Tab. XI agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-21}. For the corner term, assuming

TABLE XII. The fitted parameters for the internal energy density with BCs ($aaaa$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	u_i	Δu_i
u_0	−0.14142135623730950488016883252 8D+01	0.8D−25
u_s	0.12732395447351626861482710143 1D+01	0.5D−21
u_1	0.12136266920589298906043212775 0D+00	0.5D−20
u_c	0.37292322857805661233883540216 3D+00	0.7D−18
u_2	0.16582884428627776167138697460 6D+00	0.4D−17
u_3	0.43631513685469026822884714626 3D+00	0.3D−15
u_4	−0.23773496694129010653628366217 1D+00	0.5D−13
u_5	−0.14434561530822344529042954704 1D+01	0.8D−11
u_6	0.67913279242614290233712392200 4D+00	0.1D−08
u_7	−0.71833082094082345919686251637 1D+01	0.1D−06
u_8	0.36144882383511293218112393650 6D+01	0.1D−04
u_9	−0.48862649520378470023387125626 7D+02	0.8D−03
u_{10}	−0.98055397183954295560919669380 8D+01	0.4D−01
u_{11}	−0.89786106615432981275099501884 3D+03	0.2D+01
u_{12}	0.42669069167607236646706855018 7D+03	0.5D+02
u_{13}	−0.55961422255718717713358203880 1D+05	0.8D+03
u_{14}	0.43237768666727747564594715690 9D+06	0.9D+04
u_{15}	−0.47117432743711616029676929495 1D+07	0.5D+05

TABLE XIII. The fitted parameters for the internal energy density with BCs ($bbbb$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-26}$.

	u_i	Δu_i
u_0	−0.14142135623730950488013595058 8D+01	0.8D−22
u_s	0.12732395447351626838227979378 1D+01	0.5D−18
u_1	0.50982035572688283709824023757 1D-01	0.4D−17
u_c	−0.77234929500499979729567940226 3D-01	0.7D−15
u_2	−0.28072286596998875200415030588 7D+01	0.4D−14
u_3	0.64537181493864488171322344742 7D+00	0.3D−12
u_4	0.11898931619190362381933623463 0D+00	0.5D−10
u_5	−0.90077171658058529377527875969 0D+01	0.8D−08
u_6	−0.12786683948311615151621883046 0D+02	0.1D−05
u_7	−0.44623925219777755868275975116 7D+02	0.1D−03
u_8	−0.20803212424950269364750162196 0D+03	0.1D−01
u_9	−0.71915747636756057247030473569 9D+03	0.8D+00
u_{10}	−0.42614188862846771347461049166 5D+04	0.4D+02
u_{11}	−0.52560546037412816965336719899 0D+05	0.2D+04
u_{12}	0.81422624002486979910486963836 9D+06	0.5D+05
u_{13}	−0.25364233595349121046167165799 2D+08	0.8D+06
u_{14}	0.32488131914266346252038523965 8D+09	0.9D+07
u_{15}	−0.25336072939560238858463709137 3D+10	0.5D+08

$u_c = 4u_{corn}(++)$, we conjecture that

$$u_{corn}(++) = -\frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \tag{48}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-18}.

Tab. XII is for the BCs ($aaaa$). The fitted bulk term u_0 confirm the exact result in accuracy of 10^{-25}. Assuming $u_s = 4u_{surf}(a)$, we conjecture

$$u_{surf}(a) = \frac{1}{\pi} \tag{49}$$

The corner term u_s in Tab. XII agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-21}. For the corner term, assuming $u_c = 4u_{corn}(aa)$, we conjecture that

$$u_{corn}(aa) = \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \tag{50}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-18} (see Tab.XII).

Tab. XIII is for the BCs ($bbbb$). The fitted bulk term u_0 confirm the exact result for the bulk internal energy density in accuracy of 10^{-22}. Assuming $u_s = 4u_{surf}(b)$, we conjecture

$$u_{surf}(b) = \frac{1}{\pi} \tag{51}$$

The corner term u_s in Tab. XIII agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-18}. For the corner term, assuming $u_c = 4u_{corn}(bb)$, we conjecture that

$$u_{corn}(bb) = \frac{4-3\sqrt{2}}{4\pi} \tag{52}$$

TABLE XIV. The fitted parameters for the internal energy density with BCs ($+-+-$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-25}$.

	u_i	Δu_i
u_0	−0.14142135623730950488020910516 0D+01	0.4D−21
u_s	−0.12732395447351626835593763432 7D+01	0.2D−17
u_1	0.59293338161740606769811292982 1D+01	0.2D−16
u_c	−0.21735558608922651655935630113 1D+01	0.3D−14
u_2	−0.42941905825021261426340454498 8D+01	0.2D−13
u_3	−0.53110220326102954952358118327 0D+01	0.9D−12
u_4	0.14582323883907610892662393835 5D+02	0.1D−09
u_5	−0.28312414543421401473121458295 1D+02	0.2D−07
u_6	0.95896381516519316368330079048 8D+02	0.3D−05
u_7	−0.49703679214135047575115407672 5D+03	0.3D−03
u_8	0.34836784381062635892376611466 4D+04	0.2D−01
u_9	−0.22541251271234525796061400273 9D+05	0.1D+01
u_{10}	0.14541699600050043421235264000 9D+06	0.6D+02
u_{11}	−0.96062229166246023945106093318 1D+06	0.2D+04
u_{12}	0.66545131661565830277307223873 9D+07	0.5D+05
u_{13}	−0.43051253423341046258739277043 3D+08	0.8D+06
u_{14}	0.21891904404265280995501416386 9D+09	0.8D+07
u_{15}	−0.59036564915568902290046440503 7D+09	0.4D+08

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-15} (see Tab.XIII)

As shown in Tab. XIV for BCs ($+-+-$), we confirm the exact result for the bulk internal energy density in accuracy of 10^{-21}. Assuming $u_s = 2u_{surf}(+)+2u_{surf}(-)$ and using Eq. (47) , we get

$$u_{surf}(-) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \tag{53}$$

TABLE XV. The fitted parameters for the internal energy density with BCs $(+0+0)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	u_i	Δu_i
u_0	−0.14142135623730950488016885493 1D+01	0.4D−25
u_s	−0.11259385459514136983810842395 5D-20	0.2D−21
u_1	−0.23642015915884983072814891424 5D+00	0.2D−20
u_c	0.63661977236758134151742286107 3D+00	0.3D−18
u_2	−0.40398017974095467952341624962 1D+00	0.2D−17
u_3	0.15303900459988363793246536073 0D+01	0.9D−16
u_4	−0.22454698281840876755390904644 9D+01	0.1D−13
u_5	0.31256990011497492942620016690 2D+01	0.2D−11
u_6	−0.57510560724735475458793029096 4D+01	0.3D−09
u_7	0.16789148400617639341653102522 3D+02	0.3D−07
u_8	−0.51860758402837271846077395122 4D+02	0.2D−05
u_9	0.14835361815130680520414199081 6D+03	0.1D−03
u_{10}	−0.45238571014379525998556079755 5D+03	0.6D−02
u_{11}	0.17274895819587854753172736894 3D+04	0.2D+00
u_{12}	−0.80138332650745515796825814544 7D+04	0.50D+01
u_{13}	0.37569709062355334556294485427 1D+05	0.8D+02
u_{14}	−0.15020949185047510345422097039 7D+06	0.8D+03
u_{15}	0.34435147416039862017239621324 7D+06	0.4D+04

TABLE XVI. The fitted parameters for the internal energy density with BCs $(+a+a)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	u_i	Δu_i
u_0	−0.14142135623730950488016890626 9D+01	0.8D−25
u_s	0.23728195092355959951553330834 6D-20	0.5D−21
u_1	−0.23642015915884983075837690756 1D+00	0.5D−20
u_c	0.90031631615710607315954177320 5D+00	0.7D−18
u_2	−0.81835351374224827617056064552 6D+00	0.4D−17
u_3	0.24796511042273471438989865978 4D+01	0.3D−15
u_4	−0.36835571950400516714384367434 3D+01	0.5D−13
u_5	0.42378157378851107362257152577 5D+01	0.8D−11
u_6	−0.77448710433549517353746983110 1D+01	0.1D−08
u_7	0.26171712684322924924855555927 8D+02	0.1D−06
u_8	−0.73021242862500096654739269130 2D+02	0.1D−04
u_9	0.19093614729782328209265298899 2D+03	0.8D−03
u_{10}	−0.54108094652590011954201306586 0D+03	0.4D−01
u_{11}	0.25977320951656179363716581987 4D+04	0.2D+01
u_{12}	−0.11320620154665446604141905187 3D+05	0.5D+02
u_{13}	0.91017979039060207566790812254 8D+05	0.8D+03
u_{14}	−0.61155779017963730245972259534 9D+06	0.9D+04
u_{15}	0.44739471562306931026964666909 0D+07	0.5D+05

in an accuracy 10^{-17}. The symmetry requires that $u_{surf}(-) = u_{surf}(+)$. The edge term u_s in Tab. XIV agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-17}.

For the corner term, assuming $u_c = 4u_{corn}(+-)$, we conjecture that

$$u_{corn}(+-) = -\frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} = u_{corn}(++) \tag{54}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-14}.

Tab. XV is for the BCs $(+0+0)$. The fitted bulk term u_0 agrees with the exact result in accuracy of 10^{-25}. Assuming $u_s = 2u_{surf}(+) + 2u_{surf}(0)$, we should have $u_s = 0$ following Eq. (47) and (45). The surface term in Tab. XV $|u_s| < 10^{-20}$ is nearly zero. For the corner term, assuming $u_c = 4u_{corn}(+0)$, we conjecture that

$$u_{corn}(+0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \tag{55}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-18} (see Tab.XV)

Tab. XVI is for the BCs $(+a+a)$. The fitted bulk term u_0 agrees with the exact result $u_0 = \sqrt{2}$ in the accuracy of 10^{-25}. Assuming $u_s = 2u_{surf}(+) + 2u_{surf}(a)$, we should have $u_s = 0$ following Eq. (47) and (51). The surface term in Tab. XVI $|u_s| < 10^{-20}$ is nearly zero indeed. For the corner term, assuming $u_c = 4u_{corn}(+a)$, we conjecture that

$$u_{corn}(+a) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \tag{56}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-15} (see Tab.XVI)

TABLE XVII. The fitted parameters for the internal energy density with BCs $(+b+b)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-26}$.

	u_i	Δu_i
u_0	−0.14142135623730950488018917536 8D+01	0.8D−22
u_s	0.14328721430480421291192546801 8D-17	0.5D−18
u_1	−0.27161047597545220593015402492 2D+00	0.4D−17
u_c	0.11253953951963847733406452330 5D+01	0.7D−15
u_2	−0.11025553872289339803504199704 9D+01	0.4D−14
u_3	0.31142290522504727214324402940 9D+01	0.3D−12
u_4	−0.44323946788112708885065454543 4D+01	0.5D−10
u_5	0.20393054480796638651544435825 4D+01	0.8D−08
u_6	−0.81039437858085008127588590819 7D+01	0.1D−05
u_7	0.30508851971314825414572568048 7D+02	0.1D−03
u_8	−0.57490154984963936471454298403 1D+02	0.1D−01
u_9	0.24073254524850014356819449222 5D+03	0.8D+00
u_{10}	−0.20858799021048046958271025162 D+03	0.4D+02
u_{11}	0.24634684293645781792819177643 2D+05	0.2D+04
u_{12}	−0.55733864302509658180358597988 6D+06	0.5D+05
u_{13}	0.13545658093487829329869377982 3D+08	0.8D+06
u_{14}	−0.17832662266362358576125659581 5D+09	0.9D+07
u_{15}	0.12549977227624575094117959865 3D+10	0.5D+08

Tab. XVII is for the BCs $(+b+b)$. The fitted bulk term u_0 agrees with the exact result $u_0 = \sqrt{2}$ in the accuracy of 10^{-22}. Assuming $u_s = 2u_{surf}(+) + 2u_{surf}(b)$, we should have $u_s = 0$ following Eq. (47) and (51). The surface term in Tab. XVII $|u_s| < 10^{-17}$ is nearly zero. For the corner term, assuming $u_c = 4u_{corn}(+a)$, we conjecture

TABLE XVIII. The fitted parameters for the internal energy density with BCs ($a0a0$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	u_i	Δu_i
u_0	−0.14142135623730950488016885119 3D+01	0.8D−25
u_s	0.12732395447351626861495778507 9D+01	0.5D−21
u_1	0.12136266920589298904910544834 1D+00	0.5D−20
u_c	0.63661977236758134080150742171 6D+00	0.7D−18
u_2	0.57598076341088527809599655046 3D+00	0.4D−17
u_3	0.50676365459458580523927586148 3D+00	0.3D−15
u_4	−0.45959502234689735788433387104 0D+00	0.5D−13
u_5	−0.74195080961036372507369389888 6D+00	0.8D−11
u_6	−0.83934451170014462710020130621 8D-02	0.1D−08
u_7	−0.35001946644025021418846338384 6D+01	0.1D−06
u_8	0.66302748527433139278768584919 4D+00	0.1D−04
u_9	−0.25023784357935532662003236348 5D+02	0.8D−03
u_{10}	−0.22344899369370865781639228552 0D+02	0.4D−01
u_{11}	−0.43515292352298839101856616298 3D+03	0.2D+01
u_{12}	−0.19243023014558104769191048603 7D+03	0.5D+02
u_{13}	−0.28586305528956730600461654199 5D+05	0.8D+03
u_{14}	0.22309286055731812292287088856 7D+06	0.9D+04
u_{15}	−0.25306305443058176831152740519 6D+07	0.5D+05

TABLE XIX. The fitted parameters for the internal energy density with BCs ($b0b0$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-25}$.

	u_i	Δu_i
u_0	−0.14142135623730950488013287081 2D+01	0.8D−21
u_s	0.12732395447351626836048748399 2D+01	0.5D−17
u_1	0.86172352389290648364288588783 0D-01	0.4D−16
u_c	0.41154069332830093056816559727 1D+00	0.7D−14
u_2	−0.45524065917798995401939234943 3D+00	0.4D−13
u_3	0.13793707863727574652609648755 4D+01	0.3D−11
u_4	−0.20991461781952731090323270888 8D+01	0.5D−09
u_5	−0.68916925898138503476304636912 7D+01	0.8D−07
u_6	−0.12924173458276072099674438580 9D+02	0.1D−04
u_7	−0.53107780087710168855880610613 0D+02	0.1D−02
u_8	−0.16176171627768992852398443730 6D+03	0.1D+00
u_9	−0.86251774777328834953932067842 9D+03	0.8D+01
u_{10}	−0.29928039286197245630873952651 7D+04	0.4D+03
u_{11}	−0.61696228693237001965933055303 7D+05	0.2D+05
u_{12}	0.90463267248134444310191087046 4D+06	0.5D+06
u_{13}	−0.27834721892775035731148768279 4D+08	0.8D+07
u_{14}	0.35731229646881913547450745596 2D+09	0.9D+08
u_{15}	−0.27791846523293136685505320689 1D+10	0.5D+09

that

$$u_{corn}(+b) = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \tag{57}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-15} (see Tab.XVII)

Tab. XVIII is for the BCs ($a0a0$). The fitted bulk term u_0 agrees with the exact result $u_0 = \sqrt{2}$ in the accuracy of 10^{-25}. Assuming $u_s = 2u_{surf}(0) + 2u_{surf}(a)$, we should have $u_s = 4/\pi$ following Eq. (49) and (45). For the corner term, assuming $u_c = 4u_{corn}(a0)$, we conjecture that

$$u_{corn}(a0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \tag{58}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-18} (see Tab.XVIII)

Tab. XIX is for the BCs ($b0b0$). The fitted bulk term u_0 agrees with the exact result $u_0 = \sqrt{2}$ in the accuracy of 10^{-21}. Assuming $u_s = 2u_{surf}(0) + 2u_{surf}(b)$, we should have $u_s = 4/\pi$ following Eq. (51) and (45). For the corner term, assuming $u_c = 4u_{corn}(b0)$, we conjecture that

$$u_{corn}(b0) = \frac{4-\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \tag{59}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-14} (see Tab.XIX)

Tab. XX is for the BCs ($abab$). The fitted bulk term u_0 agrees with the exact result $u_0 = \sqrt{2}$ in the accuracy of 10^{-22}. Assuming $u_s = 2u_{surf}(a) + 2u_{surf}(b)$, we should have $u_s = 4/\pi$ following Eq. (51) and (49). For the corner term, assuming $u_c = 4u_{corn}(ab)$, we conjecture that

$$u_{corn}(ab) = \frac{8-5\sqrt{2}}{8\pi} \tag{60}$$

TABLE XX. The fitted parameters for the internal energy density with BCs ($abab$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-26}$.

	u_i	Δu_i
u_0	−0.14142135623730950488013694142 9D+01	0.8D−22
u_s	0.12732395447351626838930633255 1D+01	0.5D−18
u_1	0.86172352389290645863550448088 4D-01	0.4D−17
u_c	0.14784414953877664545927027273 2D+00	0.7D−15
u_2	−0.71974650543546803160478789812 8D+00	0.4D−14
u_3	0.91882379539660849903035744792 1D+00	0.3D−12
u_4	−0.60210447473962849471286525257 6D+00	0.5D−10
u_5	−0.59318554857997894684165529466 7D+01	0.8D−08
u_6	−0.85837641073187861119796686211 5D+01	0.1D−05
u_7	−0.43430352741754574401457564752 8D+02	0.1D−03
u_8	−0.13008293666013475088712900965 6D+03	0.1D−01
u_9	−0.76689272188900517809074043127 3D+03	0.8D+00
u_{10}	−0.25866961119246408171108529571 8D+04	0.4D+02
u_{11}	−0.52876791249173091595942297320 8D+05	0.2D+04
u_{12}	0.81425068491467939824082683992 6D+06	0.5D+05
u_{13}	−0.24521485376388152778133826717 1D+08	0.8D+06
u_{14}	0.31517677615458242069715406266 5D+09	0.9D+07
u_{15}	−0.24397448831337195149536425595 7D+10	0.5D+08

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-15} (see Tab.XX).

It is worth to mention an interesting case, in which the BCs is $(++00)$. There is no finite size correction in the internal energy. The internal energy density equals to $-\sqrt{2}$ in the accuracy of 10^{-28} for $30 < N < 1000$ in the numerical results. According to the discussion above, the edge and corner terms are expected to be canceled. The

TABLE XXI. The fitted parameters for the specific heat on a square with BCs $(++++)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	c_i	Δc_i
A_0	0.25464790894703253723021428341 2D+01	0.3D−23
D_0	−0.29390934767249042343632572558 1D+01	0.3D−22
c_s	0.68935908112548628837314969600 9D+01	0.2D−19
c_1	−0.32734273826617915881679403813 5D+01	0.1D−18
c_c	0.56203512665197002092565913394 2D+01	0.1D−16
c_2	0.57509036234836428113559057275 6D+01	0.6D−16
c_3	0.29808391967454386738974370801 2D+01	0.3D−14
c_4	−0.90848792421454443922726698374 3D+00	0.3D−12
c_5	−0.69409172944100706146748241489 2D+00	0.4D−10
c_6	0.47586036319482305339082977252 2D+01	0.4D−08
c_7	−0.17360619661274217949195304182 1D+02	0.4D−06
c_8	0.57715147753878799283852359839 7D+02	0.3D−04
c_9	−0.19572891003966416720569170974 0D+03	0.2D−02
c_{10}	0.71419627690683392001760810438 3D+03	0.7D−01
c_{11}	−0.28974160982217570218944981783 3D+04	0.2D+01
c_{12}	0.12988787382999065538416467032 8D+05	0.5D+02
c_{13}	−0.60402792345499797910973968441 0D+05	0.8D+03
c_{14}	0.24471454248624853503674384244 0D+06	0.8D+04
c_{15}	−0.59509865199405998795789804353 8D+06	0.4D+05

TABLE XXII. The fitted parameters for the specific heat on a square with fixed BCs $(aaaa)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-28}$.

	c_i	Δc_i
A_0	0.25464790894703253723020697892 3D+01	0.9D−22
D_0	−0.29390934767249042343625043430 8D+01	0.9D−21
c_s	0.32923255466264386049979020248 8D+01	0.5D−18
c_1	−0.10468425947895547962077315069 9D+01	0.4D−17
c_c	0.20190860018912755385591723043 0D+01	0.4D−15
c_2	0.30111156969812831908568835445 4D+01	0.2D−14
c_3	0.18353172664186745163263151900 0D+01	0.1D−12
c_4	−0.27130392509915410145302091422 1D+00	0.1D−10
c_5	−0.28741105128098462231374081556 6D+00	0.2D−08
c_6	0.12110520395282803376093911763 6D+01	0.2D−06
c_7	−0.79952201812157010418477932633 4D+01	0.2D−04
c_8	−0.29964851895436164201417130772 0D+02	0.2D−02
c_9	−0.91723660951863932048280456289 9D+02	0.1D+00
c_{10}	−0.91106500485031116563515307797 0D+03	0.6D+01
c_{11}	−0.32428354956847852249116006224 3D+04	0.2D+03
c_{12}	−0.47872244573633505423281968996 7D+04	0.6D+04
c_{13}	−0.70972720499500419955492588862 2D+06	0.1D+06
c_{14}	0.73920883525176167864982564059 3D+07	0.1D+07
c_{15}	−0.73337976940745859127585966722 1D+08	0.5D+07

surprising thing is that the corrections at every order are canceled. This may be an exact result. This is similar to the cylinder with Brascamp-Kunz BCS [10], the critical internal energy density is exactly $-\sqrt{2}$.

V. THE CRITICAL SPECIFIC HEAT

The critical specific heat can be expanded into

$$c = A_0 \ln N + D_0 + c_{\rm surf}\frac{\ln N}{N} + c_{\rm corn}\frac{\ln S}{S} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{c_k}{N^k}. \quad (61)$$

where the surface term $c_s = \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{\rm surf}(\alpha_i)$ is the sum of the four edges' contribution, the corner term $c_c = \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{\rm corn}(\alpha_i\beta_i)$ is the sum of the four corners' contribution.

The exact value of A_0 is known from Onsager's solution [1]

$$A_0 = \frac{8}{\pi} = 2.5464790894703253723021400\cdots \quad (62)$$

This is the benchmark of our fittings.

Tab. XXI is for the BCs $(++++)$. The fitted bulk term A_0 agrees with the exact result in the accuracy of 10^{-23}. Assuming $c_s = 4c_{surf}(+)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{surf}(+) = \frac{4+\sqrt{2}}{\pi}. \quad (63)$$

The surface term in Tab. XXI agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-19} . For the corner term, assuming $c_c = 4c_{corn}(++)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{corn}(++) = \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{\pi}. \quad (64)$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-16} (see Tab.XXI)

The specific heat for the boundary condition (0000) has been studied in Ref. [23]. According to the definition of the present paper, the surface term is given by

$$c_{surf}(0) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \quad (65)$$

and the corner term is given by

$$c_{corn}(00) = \frac{3}{\pi}. \quad (66)$$

Tab. XXII is for the BCs $(aaaa)$. The fitted bulk term A_0 agrees with the exact result in the accuracy of 10^{-22}. Assuming $c_s = 4c_{surf}(a)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{surf}(a) = \frac{4-\sqrt{2}}{\pi}. \quad (67)$$

The surface term in Tab. XXII agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-19} . For the corner term, assuming $c_c = 4c_{corn}(aa)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{corn}(aa) = \frac{3-\sqrt{2}}{\pi}. \quad (68)$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-16} (see Tab.XXII)

TABLE XXIII. The fitted parameters for the specific heat on a square with fixed BCs (*bbbb*). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-25}$.

	c_i	Δc_i
A_0	0.25464790894703253722262836255 2D+01	0.8D−19
D_0	−0.29390934767249042335806242070 2D+01	0.8D−18
c_s	0.14916929143122259293711245334 4D+01	0.5D−15
c_1	0.96072096852353007566962670944 7D+00	0.4D−14
c_c	0.18100028004956035582396781125 4D+01	0.4D−12
c_2	0.48136731052374671340875468757 1D+01	0.2D−11
c_3	0.12390979352464850048470511947 9D+01	0.9D−10
c_4	0.74464272115159947454485304926 2D+01	0.1D−07
c_5	0.35500004545512958472860425934 3D+01	0.2D−05
c_6	0.99873501442629584430010843297 0D+01	0.2D−03
c_7	0.11448744755600420120447037490 3D+02	0.2D−01
c_8	−0.18144630492709074618797980565 7D+03	0.2D+01
c_9	−0.30739610305097493203737350829 0D+04	0.1D+03
c_{10}	−0.27197078329973899730260683784 0D+04	0.6D+04
c_{11}	−0.10044269450599684361760559175 4D+07	0.2D+06
c_{12}	0.23904279883446058360974973293 3D+08	0.6D+07
c_{13}	−0.56942348757997962126192593254 8D+09	0.9D+08
c_{14}	0.73509007931467093370216428529 7D+10	0.1D+10
c_{15}	−0.50754517223495456159903928045 8D+11	0.5D+10

TABLE XXIV. The fitted parameters for the specific heat on a square with fixed BCs (+ − +−). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	c_i	Δc_i
A_0	0.25464790894703253723614439974 2D+01	0.3D−23
D_0	−0.49272748299927470271209239404 2D+01	0.3D−22
c_s	0.68935908112548632682571857889 4D+01	0.2D−19
c_1	−0.15045260920186068759707303612 2D+01	0.1D−18
c_c	0.56203512665199704056318214660 8D+01	0.1D−16
c_2	0.11622048971276405639921457898 8D+02	0.6D−16
c_3	−0.11991029879820365039425914795 7D+02	0.3D−14
c_4	0.20055421094485803778202963589 4D+02	0.3D−12
c_5	−0.12290124826727823921019928409 3D+03	0.4D−10
c_6	0.80153541847859649399530819702 7D+03	0.4D−08
c_7	−0.62571587702067920832695770480 1D+04	0.4D−06
c_8	0.40270061767853058489655528414 0D+05	0.3D−04
c_9	−0.26450469253382069753769931617 2D+06	0.2D−02
c_{10}	0.18230038667552549305604972910 7D+07	0.7D−01
c_{11}	−0.13866923234174620832390645462 0D+08	0.2D+01
c_{12}	0.10590386098151240327078037781 9D+09	0.5D+02
c_{13}	−0.74793245774170623792014890278 9D+09	0.8D+03
c_{14}	0.40409977608748621112117990043 6D+10	0.8D+04
c_{15}	−0.12361301936672209951727959664 2D+11	0.4D+05

Tab. XXIII is for the BCs (*bbbb*). The fitted bulk term A_0 agrees with the exact result in the accuracy of 10^{-19}. Assuming $c_s = 4c_{surf}(b)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{surf}(b) = \frac{4-2\sqrt{2}}{\pi}. \tag{69}$$

The surface term in Tab. XXIII agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-15} . For the corner term, assuming $c_c = 4c_{corn}(bb)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{corn}(bb) = \frac{17-8\sqrt{2}}{4\pi}. \tag{70}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-12} (see Tab.XXIII)

Tab. XXIV is for the BCs (+ − +−). The fitted bulk term A_0 agrees with the exact result in the accuracy of 10^{-23}. Assuming $c_s = 2c_{surf}(+) + 2c_{surf}(-)$, and $c_{surf}(-) = c_{surf}(+)$, we have $c_s = 4(4+\sqrt{2})/\pi$ following Eq. (63). The surface term in Tab. XXIV agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-19} . For the corner term, assuming $c_c = 4c_{corn}(+-)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{corn}(+-) = \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{\pi}. \tag{71}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-16} (see Tab.XXIV)

Tab. XXV is for the BCs (+0 + 0). The fitted bulk term A_0 agrees with the exact result in the accuracy of 10^{-23}. Assuming $c_s = 2c_{surf}(+) + 2c_{surf}(0)$, we should have $c_s = 8(1+\sqrt{2})/\pi$ following Eq. (63) and (65). The surface term in Tab. XXV agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-19} . For the corner term, assuming $c_c = 4c_{corn}(+0)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{corn}(+0) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2\pi}. \tag{72}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-16} (see Tab.XXV).

Tab. XXVI is for the BCS (+a + a). The fitted bulk term A_0 agrees with the exact result in the accuracy of 10^{-23}. Assuming $c_s = 2c_{surf}(+) + 2c_{surf}(a)$, we should have $c_s = 16/\pi$ following Eq. (63) and (67). The surface term in Tab. XXVI agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-19} . For the corner term, assuming $c_c = 4c_{corn}(+a)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{corn}(+a) = \frac{1}{\pi}. \tag{73}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-16} (see Tab.XXVI).

Tab. XXVII is for the BCs (+b + b). The fitted bulk term A_0 agrees with the exact result in the accuracy of 10^{-20}. Assuming $c_s = 2c_{surf}(+) + 2c_{surf}(b)$, we should have $c_s = 2(8-\sqrt{2})/\pi$ following Eq. (63) and (69). The surface term in Tab. XXVII agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-16} . For the corner term, assuming $c_c = 4c_{corn}(+b)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{corn}(+b) = \frac{1-\sqrt{2}}{2\pi}. \tag{74}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-13} (see Tab.XXVII).

TABLE XXV. The fitted parameters for the specific heat on a square with fixed BCs $(+0+0)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	c_i	Δc_i
A_0	0.25464790894703253723021299190 8D+01	0.3D−23
D_0	−0.20795726361553185491163640875 5D+01	0.3D−22
c_s	0.61477443540987496504562059675 0D+01	0.2D−19
c_1	−0.10130973423910459571663109322 7D+01	0.1D−18
c_c	0.27009489484713181618216062616 8D+01	0.1D−16
c_2	0.52680579048260938438660763096 5D+01	0.6D−16
c_3	−0.24975338788596621751036224528 0D+01	0.3D−14
c_4	0.37069181588566093949137233429 2D+01	0.3D−12
c_5	0.94150724634443981321573288754 2D+00	0.4D−10
c_6	−0.31008153378872151430214906128 0D+01	0.4D−08
c_7	−0.17610248742843922526016170068 2D+02	0.4D−06
c_8	0.65897798168520605637420849349 5D+02	0.3D−04
c_9	0.34841463515686257381160908229 0D+02	0.2D−02
c_{10}	−0.10863651976828586475323803532 3D+04	0.7D−01
c_{11}	0.66110833812764061831726463522 3D+04	0.2D+01
c_{12}	−0.35203314336304592977106788151 9D+05	0.5D+02
c_{13}	0.19875482480719427268638515773 8D+06	0.8D+03
c_{14}	−0.93819632205271909441796787239 7D+06	0.8D+04
c_{15}	0.26402881185419643764762330435 6D+07	0.4D+05

TABLE XXVI. The fitted parameters for the specific heat on a square with fixed BCs $(+a+a)$. It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	c_i	Δc_i
A_0	0.25464790894703253723021057431 2D+01	0.9D−23
D_0	−0.20795726361553185491161139244 4D+01	0.9D−22
c_s	0.50929581789406507443609858023 8D+01	0.5D−19
c_1	0.18922866538752117691399992837 0D+00	0.4D−18
c_c	0.12732395447351624989424232192 0D+01	0.4D−16
c_2	0.31449516783265612627055002299 7D+01	0.2D−15
c_3	−0.36130601953851005717897829397 0D+01	0.1D−13
c_4	0.32198766038107409921834252483 8D+01	0.1D−11
c_5	0.10094703660040332568464213669 1D+02	0.2D−09
c_6	−0.12525286667688438592428098063 1D+02	0.2D−07
c_7	−0.51642317915060794140291378586 0D+02	0.2D−05
c_8	0.57877417748712329947487827311 9D+02	0.2D−03
c_9	0.20347030921088092553810993635 4D+03	0.1D−01
c_{10}	−0.25699852553061143474847770138 9D+04	0.6D+00
c_{11}	0.68029953199410743418585230536 2D+04	0.2D+02
c_{12}	−0.54618023774163461807639314996 6D+05	0.6D+03
c_{13}	−0.74227164323497972955688215035 2D+05	0.1D+05
c_{14}	0.20164478817052788311926662226 2D+07	0.1D+06
c_{15}	−0.31951803973733441380939074411 2D+08	0.5D+06

Tab. XXVIII is for the BCs $(a0a0)$. The fitted bulk term A_0 agrees with the exact result in the accuracy of 10^{-23}. Assuming $c_s = 2c_{surf}(a) + 2c_{surf}(0)$, we should have $c_s = 2(4+2\sqrt{2})/\pi$ following Eq. (65) and (67). The surface term in Tab. XXVIII agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-19} . For the corner term, assuming $c_c = 4c_{corn}(a0)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{corn}(a0) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2\pi}. \tag{75}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-16} (see Tab.XXVIII).

Tab. XXIX is for the BCs $(b0b0)$. The fitted bulk term A_0 agrees with the exact result in the accuracy of 10^{-19}. Assuming $c_s = 2c_{surf}(b) + 2c_{surf}(0)$, we should have $c_s = 2(4+\sqrt{2})/\pi$ following Eq. (65) and (69). The surface term in Tab. XXIX agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-15} . For the corner term, assuming $c_c = 4c_{corn}(b0)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{corn}(b0) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}-1}{2\pi}. \tag{76}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-12} (see Tab.XXIX).

Tab. XXX is for the BCs $(abab)$. The fitted bulk term A_0 agrees with the exact result in the accuracy of 10^{-19}. Assuming $c_s = 2c_{surf}(b) + 2c_{surf}(a)$, we should have $c_s = 2(8-3\sqrt{2})/\pi$ following Eq. (67) and (69). The surface term in Tab. XXX agrees with this conjecture in the accuracy of 10^{-15} . For the corner term, assuming $c_c = 4c_{corn}(ab)$, we conjecture that

$$c_{corn}(ab) = \frac{7-3\sqrt{2}}{2\pi}. \tag{77}$$

This is valid in the accuracy of 10^{-12} (see Tab.XXX).

For the constant term D_0, it has

$$D_0 \approx 2.9390934767249042 \tag{78}$$

for the BCs $(++++)$, $(aaaa)$, $(bbbb)$, $(a0a0)$, $(b0b0)$, $(abab)$;

$$D_0 \approx 2.079572636155318549 \tag{79}$$

for $(+0+0)$, $(+a+a)$, $(+b+b)$ and

$$D_0 \approx 4.927274829992747027120 \tag{80}$$

for $(+-+-)$ respectively. This indicates that boundary "$0, a, b$" belong the same type in this term.

VI. DISCUSSION AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We have studied the critical free energy, internal energy and specific heat on square lattices with different fixed boundaries. Due to the extraordinarily high accuracy, we have conjectured all the exact edge and corner logarithmic corrections. These results indicate that for the Ising model with fixed boundary conditions may have analytical solutions.

TABLE XXVII. The fitted parameters for the specific heat on a square with fixed BCs ($+b+b$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-26}$.

	c_i	Δc_i
A_0	0.25464790894703253722944830 4623D+01	0.8D−20
D_0	−0.20795726361553185490374717 6070D+01	0.8D−19
c_s	0.41926418627835446208467583 3691D+01	0.5D−16
c_1	0.11302915816938227873645027 7193D+01	0.4D−15
c_c	−0.26369654378956646644128977 8314D+00	0.4D−13
c_2	0.73158584740504647872557201 7121D+00	0.2D−12
c_3	−0.45688796419921997093577066 5880D+01	0.9D−11
c_4	−0.34867312585766765982514252 0665D+01	0.1D−08
c_5	0.27624028458085048639302924 4801D+02	0.2D−06
c_6	0.15191666595081656817325248 9517D+02	0.2D−04
c_7	−0.87202125063877932060216490 6733D+02	0.2D−02
c_8	−0.27971596687534424492581916 7108D+03	0.2D+00
c_9	−0.41640499549874532564483557 7058D+03	0.1D+02
c_{10}	−0.63725469973286682146832703 4824D+04	0.6D+03
c_{11}	−0.11285577394715515076920717 1356D+06	0.2D+05
c_{12}	0.21733392116514439986160211 0101D+07	0.6D+06
c_{13}	−0.58879597487726253929182011 0828D+08	0.9D+07
c_{14}	0.74419575426387536056103127 8706D+09	0.1D+09
c_{15}	−0.54319654858584710132061315 0355D+10	0.5D+09

To be convenient, we only study the square shape because our emphasis is the edge and corner logarithmic corrections. To study the geometry's effect, one should to study different aspect ratio (of the horizontal size and the vertical size). In the previous work [19, 20, 23], the logarithmic corrections are geometry independent for the Ising model with free BCs. We have not studied the geometry's effects for the BCs in this paper. This is waiting for the future research.

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 11175018. The author N.I. was also supported by FP7 EU IRSES Project No. 295302 Statistical Physics in Diverse Realizations and by a Marie Curie International Incoming Fellowship within the 7th European Community Framework Programme.

[1] L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **65**, 117 (1944).
[2] B. Kaufman, Phys. Rev. **76**, 1232 (1949).
[3] G. F. Newell, Phys. Rev. **79**, 876 (1950); R. M. F. Houttapel, Physica **16**, 425 (1950).
[4] A. E. Ferdinand and M. E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. **185**, 832 (1969)
[5] H. Au-Yang and M. E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **11**, 3469 (1975).
[6] E. V. Ivashkevich, N. Sh. Izmailian and C.-K. Hu, J. Phys. A **35** (2002) 5543.
[7] J. Salas, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 1833 (2002).
[8] N. Sh. Izmailian and C.-K. Hu, Phys. Rev. E. **76**, 041118 (2007).
[9] H. J. Brascamp and H. Kunz, J. Math. Phys. **15**, 66 (1974).
[10] N. Sh. Izmailian, K. B. Oganesyan and C.-K. Hu, Phys. Rev. E **65** (2002) 056132.
[11] W. Janke and R. Kenna, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 064110 (2002).
[12] N. Sh. Izmailian and C.-K. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5160 (2001).
[13] V. Privman and M. E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 322 (1984).
[14] V. Privman, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 9261 (1988).
[15] H. W. J. Blöte, J. L. Cardy, and M. P. Nightingale, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 742 (1986).
[16] J. L. Cardy and I. Peschel, Nucl. Phys. B **300**, 377 (1988).
[17] P. Kleban and I. Vassileva, J. Phys. A: Math. & Gen. **24**, 3407 (1991).
[18] E. Vernier and J. L. Jacobsen, J. Phys. A 45, 045003 (2012).
[19] X.T. Wu, N. Sh. Izmailian, and W.-A. Guo, Phys. Rev. E **8**6, 041149 (2012).
[20] X.T. Wu, N. Sh. Izmailian, and W.-A. Guo, Phys. Rev. E **87**, 022124 (2013).
[21] Y. L. Loh and E.W. Carlson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 227205 (2006).
[22] Y. L. Loh, E.W. Carlson, and M. Y. J. Tan, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 014404 (2007).
[23] X. Wu, R. Zhen, N. Izmailian and W. Guo, J. Stat. Phys. **155**, 106 (2014).
[24] X. T. Wu, J. Stat. Phys., to be published.
[25] M. Caselle, M. Hasenbusch, A. Pelissetto and E. Vicari, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **3**5, 4861 (2002).
[26] S. L. A. de Queiroz, Phys. Rev. E **84**, 031107 (2011).
[27] Yosuke Imamura, Hiroshi Isono, and Yutaka Matsuo, Prog. Theor. Phys. **115**, 979 (2006)
[28] R. Bondesan, J. Dubail, J.L. Jacobsen, and H. Saleur, Nucl. Phys. **862**, 553 (2012).
[29] Roberto Bondesan, Jesper L. Jacobsen, and Hubert Saleur, Nucl. Phys. **867**, 913 (2013).
[30] J. L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B **324**, 581 (1989).

TABLE XXVIII. The fitted parameters for the specific heat on a square with fixed BCs ($a0a0$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-29}$.

	c_i	Δc_i
A_0	0.25464790894703253723021047195 5D+01	0.9D−23
D_0	−0.29390934767249042343628647218 4D+01	0.9D−22
c_s	0.43471117217845375111640407648 5D+01	0.5D−19
c_1	−0.23226483239791581975036960460 3D+01	0.4D−18
c_c	0.27009489484713180188963088611 9D+01	0.4D−16
c_2	0.39138347802948832669990650916 2D+01	0.2D−15
c_3	0.16414718237392241830934331999 1D+01	0.1D−13
c_4	0.37861517337369585211961769939 3D+00	0.1D−11
c_5	−0.79628726942220274895908757396 5D+00	0.2D−09
c_6	0.41084044819254061377822857841 8D+01	0.2D−07
c_7	−0.10256091695832419860643072983 7D+02	0.2D−05
c_8	0.66910788064456567265213204765 8D+01	0.2D−03
c_9	−0.10308135700830075980955299458 8D+03	0.1D−01
c_{10}	−0.18031213740053955604471068350 1D+03	0.6D+00
c_{11}	−0.25243655175068490381700085152 3D+04	0.2D+02
c_{12}	0.33638349389780378830501074255 5D+04	0.6D+03
c_{13}	−0.37034561167035738242454401129 0D+06	0.1D+05
c_{14}	0.37275920879947235633061104387 2D+07	0.1D+06
c_{15}	−0.35867610451807641666472366411 3D+08	0.5D+06

TABLE XXIX. The fitted parameters for the specific heat on a square with fixed BCs ($b0b0$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-25}$.

	c_i	Δc_i
A_0	0.25464790894703253722205933077 3D+01	0.8D−19
D_0	−0.29390934767249042335219130740 0D+01	0.8D−18
c_s	0.34467954056274308645262456339 8D+01	0.5D−15
c_1	−0.13188665423226133896625417731 0D+01	0.4D−14
c_c	0.20643291761032922027675797974 8D+01	0.4D−12
c_2	0.20174288348170387715162329572 3D+01	0.2D−11
c_3	0.36576184273275682668151085231 9D+01	0.9D−10
c_4	0.98737916687402191038476681130 8D+01	0.1D−07
c_5	0.15169466981812657597222588408 1D+02	0.2D−05
c_6	0.61962146138282765371179016606 6D+02	0.2D−03
c_7	0.76254556273198896272730599522 3D+02	0.2D−01
c_8	0.73611551158095099455699031393 0D+02	0.2D+01
c_9	−0.28127247305703863309093056096 0D+04	0.1D+03
c_{10}	0.21750463130360505345360615828 2D+04	0.6D+04
c_{11}	−0.10299759966489578874261320629 5D+07	0.2D+06
c_{12}	0.25979064918756266038334437907 7D+08	0.6D+07
c_{13}	−0.61149703486921437480818804386 2D+09	0.9D+08
c_{14}	0.79288741109185295567997089392 6D+10	0.1D+10
c_{15}	−0.54443383705900662606450515721 4D+11	0.5D+10

TABLE XXX. The fitted parameters for the specific heat on a square with fixed BCs ($abab$). It has $\delta_{max} < 10^{-25}$.

	c_i	Δc_i
A_0	0.25464790894703253722289880419 8D+01	0.8D−19
D_0	−0.29390934767249042336085361966 5D+01	0.8D−18
c_s	0.23920092304693320181489139657 3D+01	0.5D−15
c_1	−0.43060813133010447679221662139 1D-01	0.4D−14
c_c	0.17553894581013524791327222646 6D+01	0.4D−12
c_2	0.19720906201189714541197546646 9D+01	0.2D−11
c_3	0.23982298641931312572802601673 7D+01	0.9D−10
c_4	0.41309516745772530682003730110 9D+01	0.1D−07
c_5	0.13515943163961413745915667766 9D+02	0.2D−05
c_6	0.21298583522157720306202639601 1D+02	0.2D−03
c_7	0.70587111307081492756664193258 8D+02	0.2D−01
c_8	−0.68242425610644247829056149183 8D+02	0.2D+01
c_9	−0.19270347441113374702398423830 3D+04	0.1D+03
c_{10}	−0.12347714593116097198614126264 5D+03	0.6D+04
c_{11}	−0.91577620950570574067010488123 6D+06	0.2D+06
c_{12}	0.23145102348943247622195253747 1D+08	0.6D+07
c_{13}	−0.54478193684729212374853454308 1D+09	0.9D+08
c_{14}	0.70546740281252092246001445911 5D+10	0.1D+10
c_{15}	−0.48347651969903732367988992688 9D+11	0.5D+10